= MECHANICS =

## **Description for Mechanical Properties of Graphene Using Particles with Rotational Degrees of Freedom**

V. A. Kuz'kin and A. M. Krivtsov

Presented by Academician N.F. Morozov March 21, 2011

Received June 10, 2011

DOI: 10.1134/S102833581110003X

The unique mechanical properties of graphene [1] offer wide possibilities for its practical application in various fields of science and engineering. In particular, graphene is currently used in development and fabrication of nanocavities [2]. Therefore, an urgent problem is the development of models for description of the mechanical properties of graphene and other carbon nanostructures with various loadings. In practice, the mechanical behavior of carbon structures is often simulated using discrete-continuum models [3, 4]. Thermal motion can be taken into account explicitly by the molecular dynamics method [5] based on integration of classical equations of motion of interacting particles. In simulation by this method, the key role is played by laws of interactions between particles (interaction potentials). In the case of graphene, construction of such potentials is complicated by the fact that interatomic bonds are directed. This problem is often solved using many-body potentials, which depend on the positions of a large number of particles [6, 7]. These potentials describe the physicochemical properties of graphene with high accuracy. However, the mechanical properties are reproduced, as a rule, with a large error [8]. The literature data reviewed in [8] show that the overwhelming majority of the known many-body potentials describe elastic moduli (in particular, Poisson's coefficient) of graphene incorrect. According to the results reported in [8, 9], the mechanical properties of graphene are describe most accurately by the AIREBO potential (see table) [7].

An alternative approach based on the moment interactions is described, for example, in [10]. It was shown that, at an appropriate choice of interaction parameters, the elastic properties of graphene in a sheet plane can be reproduced accurately. In study [10], however, building the potential describing strong deformation and destruction is not discussed. The use of such a potential for description of in-plane properties of graphene was proposed in [1] and generalized to the three-dimensional case in [12]. However, the potential proposed in [12] cannot be used at large relative rotations of particles during strong deformation and destruction of graphene.

This study was aimed at development of the approach described in [10]. The main relations are presented that describe the interactions of particles with rotational degrees of freedom; the approaches proposed in [10, 5] are combined. These relations are used to build the potential for carbon in the  $sp^2$  hybridization state. The correlation between parameters of the potential and characteristics of an interatomic bond is determined. Molecular-dynamic simulation

**Table 1.** Mechanical characteristics of graphene. Experimental data and results of the molecular-dynamic simulations

Parameter	Potential (4)	Potential AIREBO [9]	Experimen- tal [data]
<i>E</i> , N/m	346.5	338	350 [13]
ν	0.171	0.21	0.17 [13]
$\sigma_{cr}(zigzag), N/m$	45.8	43	42* [1]
$\sigma_{cr}$ (seat), N/m	42.6	34	42* [1]
$\varepsilon_{cr}(zigzag)$	0.196	0.20	0.25* [1]
$\varepsilon_{\rm cr}({\rm seat})$	0.186	0.13	0.25* [1]
$K_B$ , nN · nm	0.225	0.225**	—
Error	≤1%	≤5%	≤20%

Note: \* Strength properties of graphene were considered to be isotropic [1].

\*\* The value of bending rigidity was calculated in [15] on the basis of the first-generation Brenner potential.

Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering, Bol'shoi pr. 61, Vasil'evskii ostrov, St. Petersburg, 199178 Russia e-mail: kuzkinva@gmail.com

of deformation and destruction of graphene upon extension is performed. During simulation, elastic and strength characteristics of graphene are calculated. The obtained values are compared with the known experimental data [1, 13] and the results of the molecular-dynamic simulation on the basis of the manybody AIREBO potential [9].

We build the potential describing the interatomic interactions in graphene using the approaches proposed in [10, 5]. We use a point solid as a model of the carbon atom [10]. For brevity, hereinafter the point solids are referred to as particles. Let the interactions between particles be implemented by forces and moments that depend on the mutual position and orientation of particles. In this study, we limit our consideration solely to pair interactions [10]. Our argumentation is demonstrated by the example of two particles marked with indices 1 and 2. Make the following denotations:  $\underline{F}_i$  and  $\underline{M}_i$  are the force and moment affecting the *i*-th particle from the side of the second particle; moment  $\underline{M}_i$  is calculated relative to the *i*-th particle. The values of  $\underline{F}_i$  and  $\underline{M}_i$  satisfy Newton's third law for the forces analogous to Newton's third law for the moments and the energy balance equation [10]:

$$\underline{F}_{1} = -\underline{F}_{2} \stackrel{aeg}{=} \underline{F}, \quad \underline{M}_{1} + \underline{M}_{2} - \underline{r}_{12} \times \underline{F} = 0, \qquad (1)$$
$$\dot{U} = \underline{F} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}}_{12} - \underline{M}_{1} \cdot \underline{\omega}_{1} - \underline{M}_{2} \cdot \underline{\omega}_{2},$$

where  $\underline{r}_{12} \stackrel{def}{=} \underline{r}_2 - \underline{r}_1$ ,  $\underline{r}_i$  is the radius-vector of the *i*-th particle,  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are the angular velocities of particles, and U is the internal energy of the system. Let us determine the correlation of the forces and moments in the system of two particles with the internal energy. For this purpose, we introduce two sets of unit vectors  $\{\underline{n}_1^j\}_{j\in\Lambda_1}$  and  $\{\underline{n}_2^j\}_{j\in\Lambda_2}$  that tightly bond with particles I and 2, respectively, where  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are the index sets. Let the introduced vector sets be such that they can completely specify the orientation of the particles. The maximum number of vectors is not limited and does not affect our consideration. In the general case, U depends on the position and orientation of the particles.

ously specified by vectors  $\{\underline{n}_1^j\}_{j\in\Lambda_1}$  and  $\{\underline{n}_2^j\}_{j\in\Lambda_2}$ ; then, we may write

$$U = U(\underline{r}_{12}, \{\underline{n}_1^j\}_{j \in \Lambda_1}, \{\underline{n}_2^j\}_{j \in \Lambda_2}).$$
(2)

Using formula (2) and energy balance equation (1) and assuming that forces  $\underline{F}_i$  and moments  $\underline{M}_i$  do not depend on the linear and angular velocities of the particles, it can easily be shown that

$$\underline{F} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \underline{r}_{12}}, \quad \underline{M}_i = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_i} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \underline{n}_i^j} \times \underline{n}_i^j, \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(3)

If internal energy (2) is known, formulas (3) determine the expressions for the forces and moments necessary for the molecular-dynamic simulation. Function Ushould satisfy the material objectivity principle. Note also that, in the case when the internal energy is objective, the forces calculated by formulas (3) automatically satisfy Newon's third law for moments. Herein- 1 after, function U is referred to as the particle interaction potential.

On the basis of the above approach, we build the potential describing the covalent interactions between carbon atoms in the  $sp^2$  hybridization state. We seek the potential in the form

$$U = U(\mathbf{r}_{12}, \{\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{12} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}}_i^J\}_{j \in \Lambda_i}, \{\underline{\mathbf{n}}_1^J \cdot \underline{\mathbf{n}}_2^K\}_{j \in \Lambda_1, k \in \Lambda_2}\},$$

where  $\underline{e}_{12} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\underline{r}_{12}}{r_{12}}$ .. To obtain the required symmetry of interactions in graphene, we choose the vectors related to the particles as follows. Let the unit vectors  $\underline{n}_i^j$  (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) be related to the *i*-th particle. We place vectors  $n_i^1$ ,  $n_i^2$ , and  $n_i^3$  in one plane by the angles  $2\pi/3$  to one another (this is analogous to arrangement of the bonds in a strainless graphene sheet). Vector  $n_i^4$  is determined by the relation  $\underline{n}_i^4 = \frac{2\underline{n}_i^1 \times \underline{n}_i^2}{\sqrt{3}}$ . For the interactions to be symmetrical, we require the potential to be invariable upon permutation of vectors  $n_i^1$ ,  $n_i^2$ , and  $n_i^3$  and upon the replacement  $n_i^4$  ( $-n_i^4$ ). We also require the bonds in graphene to be strong for extension/compression, shear, bending, and torsion [10]. According to the above general considerations, we express the energy of the interaction between particles I and 2 as

$$U = \varphi_{R}(r_{12}) + \varphi_{A}(r_{12})(U_{B} + U_{T}),$$
  

$$U_{B} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \eta(\underline{n}_{1}^{j} \cdot \underline{n}_{2}^{k})[\psi(\underline{e}_{12} \cdot \underline{n}_{1}^{j}) + \psi(\underline{e}_{21} \cdot \underline{n}_{2}^{k})], \qquad (4)$$
  

$$U_{T} = U_{T}(\underline{n}_{1}^{4} \cdot \underline{n}_{2}^{4}, \underline{e}_{12} \cdot \underline{n}_{1}^{4}, \underline{e}_{21} \cdot \underline{n}_{2}^{4}).$$

Functions  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_R$  describe attraction/repulsion between the particles, and  $U_B$  and  $U_T$  provide bond resistance against shear, bending, and torsion. We choose the functions entering formula (4) to satisfy exactly the following parameters of the interatomic bond: bond energy *D*; longitudinal, transversal, bending, and torsion strengths  $c_A$ ,  $c_D$ ,  $c_B$ , and  $c_T$ , [10]; critical bond length (i.e., the distance corresponding to the maximum force arising between particles upon bond stretching) *b*; and the nonlinearity coefficient

DOKLADY PHYSICS Vol. 56 No. 10 2011

Quantities  $k_*$  and b are uniquely related to the strength

 $k_* \stackrel{\text{def } c_A(b-a)}{=}$ , where  $f_*$  is the bond strength. In addition, we require the considered functions to be smooth along with their first derivatives. This ensures continu-

ity of the forces and moments (3). The set of the functions satisfying these requirements is

$$\varphi_{A}(s) = \begin{cases} B_{1} + B_{2}(s-a)^{2} \left(1 + B_{3} \frac{s-a}{b-a} + B_{4} \frac{(s-a)^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\right), \\ s \in [0;b], \\ B_{5} + B_{6}(s-b) \left(1 - B_{7} \left[4 \frac{(s-b)^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{(s^{2}-b^{2})^{6}}{a^{12}}\right]\right), \\ s \in [b;a_{cut}]; \\ \varphi_{R}(s) = B_{2}B_{4} \frac{a^{10}}{s^{12}}(s-a)^{4}, \quad s \in [0;a]; \\ \psi(s) = s^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + B_{8}(s-1)\right), \quad s \in [0;1]; \\ \eta(s) = s^{2} \left(1 + B_{9}(s+1)\right), \quad s \in [-1;0]; \\ U_{T}(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}) = B_{10}((s_{1} + s_{2}s_{3})^{2}(1 + s_{2}^{2})(1 + s_{3}^{2}) - 1), \end{cases}$$

where *a* is the equilibrium state and  $a_{cut}$  is the cutting radius. An important feature of functions (5) is that parameters  $B_i$  entering these functions are fairly simply expressed by the mechanical characteristics of the interatomic bond. It can be shown that the expressions for  $B_i$  have the form

$$B_{1} = -D, \quad B_{2} = \frac{c_{A}}{2}, \quad B_{3} = \frac{2(3 - 2k_{*})}{3k_{*}}, \quad B_{4} = \frac{k_{*} - 2}{2k_{*}},$$
$$B_{5} = -D + \frac{c_{A}(b - a)^{2}(k_{*} + 6)}{12k_{*}}, \quad B_{6} = \frac{c_{A}(b - a)}{k_{*}}, \quad (6)$$
$$B_{8} = \frac{c_{D}a^{2}}{2D} - 1,$$
$$B_{9} = \frac{c_{D}a^{2}}{4D} - \frac{c_{B}}{D} + 2, \quad B_{10} = \frac{c_{T}}{2D}.$$

It is seen from formulas (6) that, by fitting the parameters of potential (5), the values of D, a, b,  $c_A$ ,  $c_D$ ,  $c_B$ ,  $c_T$ , and  $k_*$  characterizing the interatomic bonds in graphene can be satisfied exactly. The properties of the interatomic bonds determine, in turn, the mechanical properties of graphene on the macroscale. In particular, it was shown in [10, 14] that the values of  $c_A$ ,  $c_D$ ,  $c_B$ ,  $c_T$  unambiguously determine the elastic moduli of graphene (Young's modulus, Poisson's coefficient, and bending rigidity). Within the proposed model, bending and shear rigidities of the bond,  $c_B$  and  $c_D$ , are independent. Note that, in the widely used rod models of graphene [4], the relation  $c_B = c_D a^2/12$  is found.

DOKLADY PHYSICS Vol. 56 No. 10 2011

and critical deformation of graphene upon extension. In this study, we used the following values of the characteristics of the carbon–carbon bond:

$$D = 0.7899 \text{ nN nm}, \quad a = 0.1430 \text{ nm},$$
  
 $b = 0.1859 \text{ nm}, \quad k_* = 3.100, \quad c_A = 800.0 \text{ N/m}, \quad (7)$   
 $c_D = 396.0 \text{ N/m}, \quad c_B = c_T = 0.3902 \text{ nN nm}.$ 

The values of *D* and *a* were taken from [6, 10]. Longitudinal and transversal bond strengths  $c_A$  and  $c_D$  were determined in a series of the molecular-dynamic experiments on uniaxial deformation of graphene at a temperature of 300 K. In the simulation, the following set of equations of motion was solved numerically:

$$m\underline{\dot{v}}_{i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \underline{F}_{ij}, \quad J\underline{\dot{\omega}}_{i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \underline{M}_{ij}, \quad (8)$$

where m and J are the mass and moment of inertia of a particle (for simplicity, the particles were assumed to have a spherical tensor of inertia). Forces  $\underline{F}_{ii}$  and moments  $\underline{M}_{ii}$  affecting the *i*-th particle from the side of the *i*-th particle were calculated on the basis of potential (4) by formulas (3). In the simulation, Young's modulus E and Poisson's coefficient v of graphene were calculated. The values of  $c_A$  and  $c_D$  were chosen from the condition of the best correspondence between the simulation and experimental elastic moduli [1]. Note that the values of  $c_A$  and  $c_D$  used in this study differ from the values from [10] ( $c_A = 730 \text{ N/m}$ and  $c_D = 402$  N/m) by 10%, since in [10] thermal motion was not taken into account. Parameters  $c_B$  and  $c_T$  were chosen from the correspondence of bending strength of a graphene sheet determined by potential (4) and the results of the calculation on the basis of the first-generation Brenner potential [15]. In this study, the bending rigidity was determined as [14]

$$K_B = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{c_B(c_B + 3c_T)}{c_B + c_T}.$$

To determine bond strength characteristics *b* and  $k_*$ , the molecular-dynamic simulation of the uniaxial extension of a graphene sheet in the zigzag and seat directions was performed. In the simulation, strength limit  $\sigma_{cr}$  and critical deformation  $\varepsilon_{cr}$  were determined. Parameters *b* and  $k_*$  were chosen from the condition of best consistency between the calculated and experimental data [1]. The molecular-dynamic simulation resulted in the following values of the parameters of potential (4):

$$B_{1} = -0.7899 \text{ nN nm}, \quad B_{2} = 400.0 \text{ N/m}, \\B_{3} = -0.6882, \quad B_{4} = 0.1774, \\B_{5} = -0.4297 \text{ nN nm}, \quad B_{6} = 11.07 \text{ nN}, \\B_{7} = 0.1421, \quad B_{8} = 4.1260,$$
(9)

$$B_9 = 4.069, \quad B_{10} = 0.2470, \quad a_{cut} = 0.2325 \text{ nm},$$
  
 $J = 0.01ma^2, \quad m = 19.92 \times 10^{-21} \text{ g}.$ 

Parameters  $B_7$  and  $a_{cut}$  characterizing the long-range character of the potential were found by solving the set

of equations  $\varphi_R(a_{cut}) = 0$  and  $\varphi'_A(a_{cut}) = 0$ . The values of the mechanical characteristics of graphene obtained in the simulation and the results of the calculation on the basis of the AIREBO potential [9] and the experimental data [1, 13] are given in the table. It can be seen that the values of the mechanical characteristics of graphene are in good agreement with the experimental data from [1, 13] and the results of the calculation on the basis of the AIREBO potential [9]. The values of the elastic moduli differ from the experimental data from [13] by no more than 1%. Strength characteristics coincide with the experimental data from [1] accurate to the experimental error. Note also that, unlike the AIREBO potential, potential (4) makes it possible to satisfy the experimental Poisson coefficient from [13] accurately.

Thus, potential (4) proposed in this study allows describing the elastic and strength properties of graphene within the experimental error.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank E.A. Ivanova for useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- C. Lee, X. Wei, J. W. Kysar, and J. Hone, Science 321 (385), 385 (2008).
- J. S. Bunch, A. M. van der Zande, S. S. Verbridge et at., Science 315 (490), 490 (2007).
- P. V. Gold'shtein and A. V. Chentsov, Izv. Akad. Nauk, 4, 57 (2005).
- 4. I. E. Berinskii, Nauchn.-Tekh. Vedomosti S.-Peterb. Gos. Politekh. Univ. **104**, 13 (2010).
- M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, *Computer Simulation of Liquids* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987).
- 6. D. W. Brenner, O. A. Shenderova, and J. A. Harrison, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 783 (2002).
- S. J. Stuart, A. B. Tutein, and J. A. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 6472 (2000).
- S. S. Gupta and R. C. Batra, J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 7, 1 (2010).
- H. Zhao and N. R. Alurua, J. Appl. Phys. 108, 064321 (2010).
- E. A. Ivanova, A. M. Krivtsov, and N. F. Morozov, Prikl. Mat. Mekh. 71 (4), 595 (2007).
- 11. A. P. Byzov and E. A. Ivanova, Nauchn.-Tekh. Vedomosti S.-Peterb. Gos. Politekh. Univ. **2**, 260 (2007).
- 12. T. P. Tovstik, in *Proceedings of International Conference Pyatye Polyakhovskie Chteniya, St. Petersburg, Russia,* 2009, p. 333.
- O. L. Blakslee, D. G. Proctor, and E. J. Seldin, J. Appl. Phys. (41 (8), 3373 (1970).
- 14. A. M. Kudarova and A. M. Krivtsov, in *Proceedings of* XXXVIII Summer School-Conference Advanced Problems in Mechanics, St. Petersburg, Russia, 2010, p. 383.
- O. Lu, M. Arroyo, and R. Huang, J. Appl. Phys. 42, 102002 (2009).

Translated by E. Bondareva

SPELL: 1. Newon's

DOKLADY PHYSICS Vol. 56 No. 10 2011